Seroidentification of *Mycobacterium Tuberculosis* by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
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特異抗体会 吸収한 血清을 이용한 菌의 양이 10배 다른 두 濃度를 이용한 경우 吸光度(optical density=OD)의 감소는 酵素結合免疫分析法의 特異抗体会 측정과 相関関係를 나타내었다. 즉 L-J培地에서 배양한 H₃₇RV 1mg/ml 및 10mg/ml로 特異免疫血清을 吸収한 경우 吸収前 OD 1.031±0.060에서 각각 0.658±0.025 및 0.478±0.080로 감소었다. 한자로부터 分離된 39株로 吸収한 경우에도 0.900±0.108에서 각각 0.754±0.116 및 0.540±0.139로 감소하였음에 비해 시험된 각株非結核抗酸菌株들은 모두 유의한 양의 特異抗体会를 吸収하지 않았다. 그러므로 血清을 10mg/ml로 하여 特異抗血清과 반응시켰을 때 人型結核菌은 99%가 吸収된 血清의 OD를 37%이상 감소시키는데 이외 같은 방법이 유용하게 이용될 수 있다고 생각한다.
INTRODUCTION

Mycobacterium tuberculosis is of course a most important member of the genus Mycobacterium in its clinical significance. Hence utmost care must be paid to the fast and accurate identification of M. tuberculosis in the mycobacteriology laboratories. A number of methods have been introduced to differentiate M. tuberculosis from other mycobacteria. Now M. tuberculosis can be easily identified by simple one or two biochemical tests such as niacin test, heat-labile catalase test, and nitrate reduction test\(^1-3\). If the growth of primary culture is not profuse enough to do biochemical tests, the susceptibility test to 500 mcg/ml of para-nitrobenzoic acid is useful\(^1\).

In addition to these simple identification tests, a variety of immunological procedures have been extensively studied mainly for the taxonomic purpose, of which some have been found not only helpful to elucidate the antigenic differences in inter or intra-species of mycobacteria, but also to understand humoral as well as cell-mediated immunity of tuberculosis\(^3-6\).

In this study we have compared absorptions of M. tuberculosis specific antibodies present in the antiserum by the clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis and by non-tuberculous mycobacteria using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in order to find out a possibility of sero-identification of human tubercle bacilli. And we hope it may be able to lead a feasible access to the sero-identification of mycobacterial species or strains which are fastidious to identify by the current techniques.

MATERIALS and METHODS

1. Mycobacterial Strains

Mycobacterial strains used in this study are as follows. A reference strain H\(_{37}\)Rv and 39 clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis from Korean patients, 3 strains of M. kansasii (KIT20002, 20003, 20007), 4 strains of M. scrofulaceum (KIT30001, 30101, 30006, 30008), 3 strains of M. avium (KIT 40001, 40002, 40004), 5 strains of M. fortuitum (KIT60001, 60002, 60003, 60004, 60102), and 2 strains of M. phlei (KIT63002, 63003) were subcultured on Löwenstein-Jensen (L-J) medium. The bacterial cells were killed with 2% phenol and then washed with 0.067M phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.0) before use in the ELISA tests.

2. Preparation of Rabbit Antiserum Specific to M. Tuberculosis

Anti-M. tuberculosis (H\(_{37}\)Rv) serum was raised in rabbits as described elsewhere\(^7\). M. tuberculosis specific antiserum was prepared by absorbing the rabbit hyperimmune serum with 100 mg of cells of M. kansasii and M. intracellulare and of mashed L-J medium. From the preliminary study it was found that M. kansasii and intracellulare could remove all possible cross reactive antibodies to mycobacteria other than M. tuberculosis present in the rabbit antituberculous serum. The absorbed specific serum was diluted to 1:100 for use in ELISA.

3. Preparation of Bacterial Suspensions

1) A reference strain H\(_{37}\)Rv of M. tuberculosis was cultured as surface pellicle on Sauton's broth medium for 3-5 weeks at 37°C and then killed with 2% phenol. The bacterial mass was separated from culture broth and washed with PBS three times. Then the cell mass was homogenized with tissue homogenizer and washed again with PBS prior to use for coating the plate and for the control organisms in the standard homologous absorption.

2) Mycobacterial cells grown on the egg-based medium were transferred into 1/2 ounce glass bottle containing 5-6 glass beads (3 mm in diameter) and then homogenized and killed with 2% phenol. The killed cell suspension was washed three times in PBS.
4. Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay

1) The H$_{37}$Rv cells grown on Sauton's broth medium were suspended to a concentration of 2 mg/ml in coating buffer and 150 µl of cell suspension was transferred into each well of microtiter plate (Linbro) and then overnight at 4°C for adsorption of the cells on the plate well. The plates were washed with distilled water (DW) and the residual binding sites were blocked with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in a carbonate buffer. Then plates were washed with PBS containing tween 80 (PBST).

2) Rabbit antiserum specific to M. tuberculosis was diluted to 1:100 with PBST containing BSA (PBSBT) and reacted with 0.5 mg or 5 mg/ml of H$_{37}$Rv cells and with 1 mg or 10 mg/ml of test strains for 2 hours at 37°C and then bacilli were removed by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm. The 150 µl of the supernatant together with unabsorbed serum was transferred into the wells of H$_{37}$Rv coated plates and reacted at 37°C for 1.5 hours, followed by another 1.5 hours reaction with goat anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugated immunoglobulin diluted to 1:400 in PBSBT containing normal rabbit serum. The enzyme conjugate was prereacted with H$_{37}$Rv cells and egg homogenate to remove nonspecific reaction. After reaction with enzyme conjugate dilution, the plates were washed three times with PBST without NaN$_3$ and 200 µl of enzyme substrate (0.01% OPD) was added into each well and reacted for 15 minutes. The enzyme reactions were stopped by adding 50 µl of 4N H$_2$SO$_4$ and the optical densities (OD) of reactants were read at 492 nm using Titertek Multiskan Spectrophotometer (Flow Laboratories Inc.).

RESULTS

Mean optical density (OD) of unabsorbed antiserum specific to M. tuberculosis was 0.900 ± 0.108 (0.803 ~0.996, 95% confidence limit), but homologous absorptions with 0.5 mg and 5 mg/ml of H$_{37}$Rv cells grown on Sauton's medium decreased OD to 0.390 ± 0.045 (0.350 ~0.430, 95% confidence limit) and 0.146 ± 0.044 (0.116 ~0.196, 95% confidence limit) as seen in table 1. The difference of OD (0.244) between two bacterial concentrations was significant (p<0.05). Decrease of OD with increase of bacterial concentration for absorption showed a clear-cut reciprocal correlation. When the bacterial cell amounts (mg) were transformed into logarithms, linear regression equation ($y = 0.4354 - 0.2323x$, $r = -0.9515$) was obtained as seen in figure 1.

The H$_{37}$Rv cells grown on L-J medium, however, absorbed out less amount of antibodies than the cells grown on Sauton’s medium, showing that ODS of antiserum were 0.658 ± 0.020 and 0.478 ± 0.080 when absorbed with 1 mg and 10 mg/ml of the cells. One mg/ml of the cells decreased 36.2% of OD of unabsorbed serum and 10 mg/ml, 53.6%, while 0.5 mg and 5 mg/ml of the cells grown on Sauton's broth medium decreased 59.6% and 82.4% of OD of antiserum respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Bacterial concentrations (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_{37}$ Rv grown on Sauton’s medium (8 tests)</td>
<td>0.900 ± 0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_{37}$ Rv grown on L--J medium (3 tests)</td>
<td>1.031 ± 0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 clinical isolates grown on L--J medium</td>
<td>0.900 ± 0.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* H$_{37}$Rv cells grown on Sauton’s medium used for antiserum absorption were 0.5 or 5mg/ml, and the amounts of cells grown on L--J medium used for absorption were 1 or 10 mg/ml.
When antiserum was absorbed with 1 mg or 10 mg/ml of the cells of 39 clinical isolates, mean ODs were 0.754±0.116 (0.717–0.792, 95% confidence limit) and 0.540±0.139 (0.495–0.585, 95% confidence limit) respectively, while OD of control unabsorbed serum was 0.900±0.108. Therefore mean OD of unabsorbed antiserum decreased 18.9% by the absorption with 1 mg/ml of the cells and 42.2% by 10 mg/ml. The ODs of antiserum and absorbed cell amounts were also reciprocally correlated (y = 0.6938–0.1132x, r = −0.9557). The difference of OD between two bacterial concentrations was 0.214 on an average. However the difference was no more than 0.130 in 6 strains of *M. tuberculosis*, although their absorption of specific antibodies was still significantly larger than those of the other species. Mean decrease (%) of OD of antiserum when absorbed with 10 mg/ml of the cells was 42.2±11.8% (37.1–47.3%, 99% confidence limit), indicating that 99% of *M. tuberculosis* cells would decrease more than 37% of OD of antiserum.

The bacterial cells of the other species did not virtually absorb out antituberculous antibodies in the specific antiserum even with 10 mg/ml of the cells (p > 0.10, t = 1.2078) as seen in table 2. Mean difference of OD between two bacterial concentrations was merely 0.034. *M. kansasii* cells did not decrease OD of antiserum showing 0.860±0.066 before absorption and 0.878±0.073 and 0.855±0.027 after absorption with 1 mg and 10 mg/ml respectively. There were some slight decrease in OD when the antiserum was absorbed with 10 mg/ml of certain strains of *M. avium* or *intracellulare*.

Mean decrease (%) of OD of antiserum was 3.8±3.5%, 4.8±2.7%, 6.6±3.4%, 6.3±4.2%, 3.8±3.5%, and −0.9±0.7% respectively when absorbed with 10 mg/ml of *M. kansasii, scrofulaceum, avium, intracellularare, fortuitum*, and *phlei.* Thus 99% of *M. tuberculosis* decreased more than 37% of OD of unabsorbed antiserum when absorbed with 10 mg/ml of the cells, while all the other species decreased less

---

### Table 2. Mean Optical Densities (OD) of *M. Tuberculosis* Specific Antiserum before or after Absorption with the Cells of Nontuberculous Mycobacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Bacterial concentrations (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. kansasii</em> (3 strains)</td>
<td>0.860 ± 0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. scrofulaceum</em> (4 strains)</td>
<td>0.806 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. avium</em> (3 strains)</td>
<td>0.808 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. intracellulare</em> (5 strains)</td>
<td>0.876 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. fortuitum</em> (5 strains)</td>
<td>0.902 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. phlei</em> (2 strains)</td>
<td>0.809 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Percentage Decrease of Optical Density of *M. Tuberculosis* Specific Antiserum by the Absorption of Homologous and Heterologous Mycobacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Bacterial concentrations (mg)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis, H₃₇, Rv</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>59.6 ± 8.3 (59.6 ± 6.9)</td>
<td>82.4 ± 6.2 (82.4 ± 5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis, H₃₇, Rv</em>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.2 ± 2.4 (36.2 ± 6.0)</td>
<td>53.6 ± 4.0 (53.6 ± 9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis, clinical</em> isolates</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.7 (18.9 ± 2.5)</td>
<td>42.2 ± 11.8 (42.2 ± 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. kansasii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.4 ± 1.4 (−1.4 ± 3.5)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.5 (3.8 ± 8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. scrofulaceum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.7 (1.1 ± 2.7)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.7 (4.8 ± 4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. avium</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.1 (1.0 ± 2.7)</td>
<td>6.6 ± 3.4 (6.6 ± 8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. intracellular</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.1 (2.9 ± 2.6)</td>
<td>6.3 ± 4.2 (6.3 ± 5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. fortuitum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.4 (0.8 ± 1.7)</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.5 (3.8 ± 4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. phlei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.4 (0.1 ± 3.6)</td>
<td>−0.9 ± 0.7 (−0.9 ± 6.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* H₃₇, Rv cells grown on Sauton’s medium
** H₃₇, Rv cells grown on L–J medium
( ) = 95% confidence intervals. [ ] = 99% confidence intervals

than 15% (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In practical aspects there are no difficulties in identification of *M. tuberculosis* isolated from clinical sources because one or two biochemical tests suffice to discriminate most of *M. tuberculosis* isolates from other mycobacteria. The present study aimed not to replace the conventional identification techniques with new method, but to add some novel procedures if any.

Serological procedures such as ELISA are not simple techniques and not easy to standardize, however some of them permit to analyse the quantitative differences of antigenic relationship between species or even strains. This study showed that *M. tuberculosis* specific antiserum, prepared by absorbing out all possible cross reactive antibodies, was found useful to discriminate this species from other mycobacteria with an acceptable precision either by comparison of OD difference between two bacterial cell concentrations used to absorb out antituberculous antibodies present in the specific antiserum or by comparison of decreasing percentage of OD after absorption with control and test organisms. It seems to be applicable to the identification of classification of certain members of mycobacteria, which cannot be easily identified or classified by the conventional techniques.

The results showed that if OD difference between two absorption cell concentrations of test organism is more than 0.20, it falls absolutely in *M. tuberculosis*, but in cases of anywhere inbetween 0.10 to 0.20 it may be tubercle bacilli but needs confirmatory tests. If the difference is less than 0.10 of OD, test strain may fall in other mycobacteria. Six strains of *M. tuberculosis* showed 0.10–0.20 OD differences, probably due to the paucity of their cell concentrations or due to possess of different amount of sharing antigens on the cell surface. Even H₃₇, Rv grown on L–J medium absorbed out less amount antibodies than the cells grown on Sauton’s medium. This might also be resulted from the different cell amounts or from different amount of sharing antigens on the cell surface.

Another way of analysis is comparison of percentage of OD decrease on the basis of difference in OD
of antiserum before and after absorption. This approach seems to be simple and better if the amount of cells can be standardized. While absorbed antiserum with 10 mg/ml of the cells of *M. tuberculosis* decreased OD value of unabsorbed serum by more than 37%, the almost all of other mycobacteria decreased by less than 15%.

Overall finding suggests that this technique may adapt fairly well to the other mycobacteria which are not easy to identify or classify by the conventional techniques.

**SUMMARY**

Rabbit anti-*M. tuberculosis* hyperimmune serum was absorbed with the cells of *M. kansasii* and *intracellulare* to remove all possible cross reactive antibodies and the specific antiserum has been studied to use a possible sero-identification of *M. tuberculosis* by ELISA. When the specific antiserum was absorbed with 1 or 10 mg/ml of homologous cells, OD (1.031) of unabsorbed serum decreased to 0.658 or 0.478 respectively and, when absorbed with 39 clinical isolates, to 0.754±0.116 or 0.540±0.139 respectively, while all other species did not absorb out any significant amount of antituberculous antibodies present in the specific antiserum. Thus if the specific antiserum was absorbed with 10 mg/ml of the cells, 99% of *M. tuberculosis* isolates decreased OD value of unabsorbed serum to more than 37%, while all the other nontuberculous mycobacteria decreased to less than 15%.
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